AF/ 2623

Practitioner's Docket No. <u>U 012894-7</u>

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

7-8-04

In re application of: Sharon DUVDEVANI, et al.

Serial No.: 09/633,756

Group No.: 2623

Filed: August 7, 2000

Examiner: Virginia M. Kibler

For: APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR THE INSPECTION OF OBJECTS

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 JUN 3 0 2004

Technology Center 2600

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed MARCH 22, 2004, finally rejecting claims 1-8.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

to (793) 872-9306

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark

deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature

Date: <u>June 22, 2004</u>

JULIAN H. COHEN

(type or print name of person certifying

06/28/2004 YPOLITE1 00000127 09633756

01 FC:1401

330.00 OP

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 1 of 4) 9-6

1	1	C1	$\Gamma \Lambda$	T	r t	C	"	7	F	Δ.	D	D١	rı	\mathbf{C}	۸	N	ľ	i
- 3			_	. 1	U	. 7	٠,		г.	\boldsymbol{H}	•	ГΙ			м			

This application is qualified as

[X] other than a small entity.

[] a small entity.

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

[] small entity
[X] other than a small entity

\$165.00 \$330.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$ 330.00

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.

(a) [] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity			
[] one month [] two months [] three months [] four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$1,480.00	\$ 55.00 \$210.00 \$475.00 \$740.00			

Fee \$ ___

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

	(a)	of	sextension formonths has already been secured, and the fee paid thereforeis deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension not quested.
		109	Extension fee due with this request \$
			or
	(b)	[X]	Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditions petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertent overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
4.	ТО	TAL FEE D	DUE
Th	e tota	al fee due is): ::
	No	tice of Appe	eal fee \$ <u>330.00</u>
	Ext	tension fee ((if any) \$ TOTAL FEE DUE \$330.00
5.	FEI	E PAYMEN	1T
	[]	Charge Acc	s a check in the sum of \$ 330.00
6.	FEI	E DEFICIEI	NCY
NO	TE:	the additional before the defi to charge is in to apply these	the deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover that time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expire ficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency the ecked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.
	⊠	If any addit No. <u>12-042</u>	tional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Accound $\underline{25}$.
			AND/OR
	×	If any addi	tional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.

SIGNAPURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 20,302.

Tel. No.: (212) 708-1887

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N.Y. 10023